

SHELBYVILLE, IND.  
NEWS

E - 9,681

OCT 6 1969

## Radicals' True Goals

Dropping all pretense of "protest and reform," a small but dangerous group of "New Radicals" are now pressing their true goal — nothing less than the overthrow of a "decadent" American society.

Their methods include naked violence, terror and blackmail. Their "work papers" are often based on revolutionary tracts imported from China and Cuba. Their financing derives in large measure from contributions that often come from wealthy individuals and foundations, and—astonishingly — from agencies of the very government that they have sworn to destroy.

Much of this has already been charged by FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover; and the points also are among the conclusions in an article in the October Reader's Digest. Written by the publication's associate editor, William Schulz, the report is based on months of nation-wide research and accumulation of documented findings by congressional probes, U. S. law enforcement agencies, and state and local authorities.

The article focuses on two groups — the Students for a Democratic Society, which boasts 70,000 members, and the Black Panther Party, with an estimated 60,000 followers—which security officials agree are at the core of the revolutionary movement. It reveals that national leaders of the two groups held top-secret strategy conferences throughout the early part of this year to discuss plans and coordinate methods.

All too often, reports Schulz, the methods are those of the iron fist. For example, 22-year-old SDS member Michael Siskind was arrested and convicted last year of the attempted fire bombing of an ROTC installation. SDS claimed credit for the bombing of local CIA offices in Ann Arbor, Mich. And a 26-year-old Army deserter, SDS'er Cameron D. Bishop, became the first campus radical to make the FBI's Most Wanted List — charged with the dynamite sabotage last January of defense-plant transmission-line towers near Denver.

Similarly, Black Panther members regularly receive detailed instructions in the use of bombs and grenades. A wave of terrorist bombings, mostly of business establishments, rocked the city of Mobile, Ala., last year after Panther Field Marshal Donald Lee Cox held classes for young Negroes in the manufacture and use of acid bombs.

Costs of such activities are high. Maintaining the national office of SDS alone, for example, costs \$80,000 a year.

Where does the financial support come from? A variety of sources — foreign and domestic, legal and illegal—Schulz says. Nearly 60 percent of the SDS budget comes in the form of contributions — surprisingly, much of it from wealthy benefactors. As FBI Director Hoover told Congress, four individuals — a Cleveland industrialist, the wife of a millionaire Chicago attorney, a New England heiress, and a wealthy New York lecturer and writer—have contributed more than \$100,000 to New Left activities. Several tax-exempt foundations have added to the pot. Hoover revealed that one such group, located in New York, has given more than \$250,000 during the past seven years to individuals and groups, most of which have had close ties to the New Left or Communist Party, USA.

More sinister ways of financing are also used. For example, Schulz says, "the largest source of Panther funds is the armed robberies and the shake-downs committed in the name of revolution."

Most curious of all are the funds that these radicals get from various government programs designed to aid poor people.

For example, Black Panther leaders Bobby Seale, Huey Newton and George Murray all spent time on the anti-poverty payroll. In New York City, officials put up \$30,000 for a "Free Store" designed to aid hippies and other "alienated youth." Free Store facilities were used to distribute leaflets on the manufacture of fire bombs and to recruit demonstrators for the 1968 Democratic National Convention in Chicago. Cleveland black militant Fred Evans boasted that a portion of nearly \$7,000 in anti-poverty funds he received went to buy guns used in an ambush that killed three Cleveland policemen and wounded 14 others.

Obviously, such violence goes far beyond the limits of honest protest. Says Prof. Harvey Mansfield of Columbia University, "It is naked force against the democratic process."

Schulz asks in his article: "Isn't it time for society to begin protecting itself against this major threat to the continued existence of our democratic system?"